# Predictive Analytics - Exercise Sheet 2 (graded)

#### Prof. Dr. Benjamin Buchwitz

#### 2024

### Task 1

The idea of exponential smoothing is illustrated by equation 1 which has been extracted from Hyndman and Athanasopoulos (2021) (subsection 8.1).

$$\hat{y}_{T+1|T} = \alpha y_T + \alpha (1-\alpha) y_{T-1} + \alpha (1-\alpha)^2 y_{T-2} + \dots$$
(1)

Based on equation 1 answer the following questions and do the following:

- a) Which factors embody the weights of exponential smoothing?
- b) What is the meaning of  $\alpha$ ?
- c) Write a function that computes the weights (see task 1.a)) for the last T observations dependent on  $\alpha$ . Choose T = 20 and different values for  $\alpha$ :  $\alpha_1 = 0.2$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 0.6$  and  $\alpha_4 = 0.8$  and plot the resulting values (curves) in one plot. Add a legend.
- d) What can you see in your created plot from task 1.c)?

### Task 2

- a) Write down the forecast equation  $\hat{y}_{T+h|T}$  of
  - a.1) Holt's linear trend method and
  - a.2) Holt's linear trend method with a damping parameter  $0 < \phi < 1$ .
- b) Based on the two forecast equations from task 2.a) produce forecasts for the next h = 50 time points: Assume that  $l_T = 25$ ,  $b_T = 0.33$  and  $\phi = 0.88$ . Implement a smart and short solution since the way of your implementation will also be graded!

Plot your forecasts based on the two methods in one plot.

b.1) Describe and compare the produced forecasts based on the two forecast equations.

b.2) What happens when you increase the value of  $\phi$ ? Illustrate it by choosing at least three different values for  $\phi$ .

#### Task 3

- a) Write down the equations of the Holt-Winters' additive method (including the forecast equation).
- b) What does the index of the seasonal component t + h m(k+1) in the context of computing the
  - b.1) fitted values  $\hat{y}_{t+h|t}$  and

b.2) forecasts  $\hat{y}_{T+h|T}$ 

indicate?

c) After applying the Holt-Winters' additive method to the Australian domestic tourism data, the following values are obtained based on  $\alpha = 0.2620$ ,  $\beta^* = 0.1646$  and  $\gamma = 0.0001$  (see subsection 8.3 in Hyndman and Athanasopoulos (2021) for complete information):

Table 1:	Resulting	values	after	applying	the	Holt-Winter	s' additive	method	$\operatorname{to}$	$_{\mathrm{the}}$	Australian	domestic
tourism o	lata from I	Hyndma	an and	l Athanas	opou	(2021).						

Quarter	$\mathbf{Time}$	Observation	Level	Slope	Season	Forecast
	t	$y_t$	$l_t$	$b_t$	$s_t$	$\hat{y}_{t+1 t}$
$1997 \ Q1$	0				1.5	
$1997 \ Q2$	1				-0.3	
$1997 \ Q3$	2				-0.7	
$1997  \mathrm{Q4}$	3		9.8	0.0	-0.5	
$1998 \ Q1$	4	11.8	9.9	0.0	1.5	11.3
$1998 \ Q2$	5	9.3	9.9	0.0	-0.3	9.7
$1998 \ Q3$	6	8.6	9.7	-0.0	-0.7	9.2
$1998  \mathrm{Q4}$	7	9.3	9.8	0.0	-0.5	9.2
$2017 \ Q1$	80	12.4	10.9	0.1	1.5	12.3
$2017  \mathrm{Q2}$	81	10.5	10.9	0.1	-0.3	10.7
2017  Q3	82	10.5	11.0	0.1	-0.7	10.3
$2017~\mathrm{Q4}$	83	11.2	11.3	0.1	-0.5	10.6
	h					$\hat{y}_{T+h T}$
$2018 \ Q1$	1					12.9
$2018~\mathrm{Q2}$	2					11.2
$2018~\mathrm{Q3}$	3					11.0
$2018~\mathrm{Q4}$	4					11.2
2019 Q1	5					13.4

Understand how the values in table 1 have been computed. Calculate the first four fitted values by hand and show how the corresponding level, trend and seasonal component have been calculated. How can you reproduce the forecasts for h = 1, ..., 5? Calculate them also by hand.

## Task 4

Why is it not possible to generate point forecasts **and** prediction intervals based on exponential smoothing methods? Why are statistical models needed? Explain it in your own words!

## Task 5

- a) How does the Holt's linear trend method look like? Write down its equations (including the forecast equation).
- b) Show that the Holt's linear trend method with additive errors results in the following ETS(A,A,N) model:

 $y_t = l_{t-1} + b_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$  $l_t = l_{t-1} + b_{t-1} + \alpha \epsilon_t$  $b_t = b_{t-1} + \beta \epsilon_t$ 

with  $\beta = \alpha \beta^*$ .

Write down **all** your intermediate steps and name the different steps! *Hint*: Consider how the ETS(A,N,N) model has been constructed based on simple exponential smoothing with additive errors in subsection 8.5 in Hyndman and Athanasopoulos (2021).

## Task 6

- a) Write your own function to implement Holt's linear trend method (see Task 5.a)) and to make forecasts. The function should take the following arguments:
  - y (the time series),
  - alpha (the smoothing parameter  $\alpha$ ),
  - beta (the smoothing parameter  $\beta^*$ ),
  - level (the initial level  $l_0$ ),
  - trend (the initial trend  $b_0$ ) and
  - h (the number of forecasts)

and it should return the following computed values:

- level\_comp (the level component  $l_t$ ),
- trend\_comp (the trend component  $b_t$  (slope)),
- y\_fitted (the fitted values (forecasts)  $\hat{y}_{t+1|t}$ ) and
- y\_h (the *true* forecasts  $\hat{y}_{T+h|T}$ ).
- b) Apply your function from task 6.a) to the following Australian population data (see code chunk below) which is based on the data set tsibbledata::global\_economy (O'Hara-Wild et al. 2022) and which has been extracted from Hyndman and Athanasopoulos (2021) (subsection 8.2).

Your function should return the forecasts for the **next ten** observations. Does it give the same forecasts as the defined ETS model (based on fable::ETS() (O'Hara-Wild, Hyndman, and Wang 2021)) in the code chunk below?

As initial values for  $\alpha$ ,  $l_0$  and  $b_0$  take the ones which are returned by applying the predefined ETS-model (see below) to aus\_economy. Which value do have to take for  $\beta^*$  and why? Round the initial values to four decimal places.

Visualize the time series aus\_economy, the return values of your function  $(\hat{y}_{t+1|t} \text{ and } \hat{y}_{T+h|T})$  and the corresponding values which result from applying ETS() in one plot. Do you expect differences between the return values of your function and the ones resulting from ETS()? If yes, which ones?

```
aus_economy <- global_economy %>%
filter(Code == "AUS") %>%
mutate(Pop = Population / 1e6)
fit <- aus_economy %>%
model(
    AAN = ETS(Pop ~ error("A") + trend("A") + season("N"))
)
fc <- fit %>% forecast(h = 10)
```

# Task 7

Consider the number of pigs slaughtered in New South Wales named pigs from tsibbledata::aus\_livestock (O'Hara-Wild et al. 2022) (see code chunk below).

The data set **pigs** has been splitted in a training set of 486 observations and a test set of 72 observations (6 years):

```
pigs <- aus_livestock %>% dplyr::filter(State == "New South Wales", Animal == "Pigs")
train_pigs <- pigs[1:486,] # training set of the first 486 observations
test_pigs <- pigs[487:nrow(pigs),] # test set of 72 observations</pre>
```

- a) Visualize the time series **pigs** and describe it. Do you recognize a trend at the most current observations?
- b) Apply an ETS model with additive errors, an additive trend and no seasonality to **pigs**, produce forecasts based on the training set for the test set. Visualize the time series **pigs** and your fitted values and forecasts (with prediction intervals of 80 % and 95 % coverage probability) in **one** plot.
- c) Why is the defined ETS model in task 7.b) not the best one?
- d) Which ETS model is *best* and why? Give an explanation and plot **pigs** and your fitted values and forecasts based on the chosen ETS model in **one** plot.
- e) Check out the residuals of your chosen ETS-model from task 7.d) by plotting a time series, a histogram and an acf plot of the residuals! Describe what you can see in the single plots and interpret them. Then, compare these plots with the following ones that were obtained after applying the drift method to train\_pigs:



f) Compute the RMSE, MAE, MAPE and MASE after producing forecasts for the test set based on your chosen ETS model from task 7.d). Then, compare these accuracy measures with the following ones that were obtained after applying the drift method to **pigs**. What can you conclude from it?

## # A tibble: 1 x 5
## .model RMSE MAE MAPE MASE
## <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> ## 1 Drift 8091. 6967. 10.1 0.657

#### References

Hyndman, Rob J, and George Athanasopoulos. 2021. *Forecasting: Principles and Practice*. 3rd ed. Springer-Lehrbuch. Melbourne, Australia: OTexts.

O'Hara-Wild, Mitchell, Rob Hyndman, and Earo Wang. 2021. Fable: Forecasting Models for Tidy Time Series. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fable.

O'Hara-Wild, Mitchell, Rob Hyndman, Earo Wang, and Rakshitha Godahewa. 2022. Tsibbledata: Diverse Datasets for 'Tsibble'. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tsibbledata.