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## Introduction

$$
Y=f\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{p}\right)+\epsilon
$$

■ We now generalize the simple linear regression model so that the relation between the response $Y$ and $p$ predictor variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{p}$ can be studied.

- We still assume that within the range of the data the true relation between $Y$ and the predictors can be approximated using a linear function.
- The previously discussed simple linear regression model can be seen as a special case of the general linear regression model where $p=1$.


## Model

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\ldots+\beta_{p} X_{p}+\epsilon
$$

■ Each regressor needs its own constant $\beta$ so that the regression coefficients are now $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$.

- The random disturbance is noted using $\epsilon$. This term measures the discrepancy in the approximation and $\epsilon$ contains no systematic information for determing $Y$ that is not already captured by the $X$ 's.


## Model

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\beta_{2} x_{i 2}+\ldots+\beta_{p} x_{i p}+\epsilon_{i} \\
i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{gathered}
$$

- Following from the model equation, each observation can be written as above, where $y_{i}$ respresents the $i$-th value of the response variable $Y$.
- $x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i p}$ are the values of the regressors that are associated with the $i$-th unit in the sample (usually $i$-th row of data).
- $\epsilon_{i}$ represents the individual error in the linear approximation for the $i$-th data unit.


## Example: Supervisor Performance

| Variable | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Y$ | Overall rating of job being done by supervisor |
| $X_{1}$ | Handles employee complaints |
| $X_{2}$ | Does not allow special privileges |
| $X_{3}$ | Opportunity to learn new things |
| $X_{4}$ | Raises based on performance |
| $X_{5}$ | Too critical of poor performance |
| $X_{6}$ | Rate of advancing to better jobs |

- $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{5}$ relate to direct interpersonal relationships between employee and supervisor
- $X_{3}$ and $X_{4}$ relate to the job as a whole
- $X_{6}$ is an indicator of the perceived progress of the employee


## Example: Supervisor Performance

```
# Supervisor Performance Data
P060
```

\#\# $\quad \mathrm{Y}$ X1 X2 X3 X4 X5 X6
\#\# 114331
\#\# $2463645154 \quad 63 \quad 73 \quad 47$
\#\# $3 \quad 7170 \quad 68 \quad 69768648$
\#\# $466163 \quad 4547 \quad 54 \quad 84 \quad 35$
\#\# $5818178 \quad 56 \quad 66 \quad 718347$
\#\# $6 \quad 43 \quad 55494454 \quad 49 \quad 34$
\#\# $7 \quad 588674256 \quad 66 \quad 68 \quad 35$
\#\# $8 \quad 71 \quad 75 \quad 505570 \quad 6641$
\#\# $98172827267 \quad 7183 \quad 31$
\#\# $106761454762 \quad 8041$
\#\# $11 \begin{array}{llllllll}64 & 53 & 53 & 58 & 58 & 67 & 34\end{array}$
\#\# $12 \begin{array}{llllllll}67 & 60 & 47 & 39 & 59 & 74 & 41\end{array}$
\#\# $136962574255 \quad 63 \quad 25$
\#\# $14 \quad 68 \quad 83 \quad 83 \quad 45 \quad 59 \quad 77 \quad 35$
\#\# $15 \begin{array}{llllllll}77 & 77 & 54 & 72 & 79 & 77 & 46\end{array}$
\#\# $1681805072 \quad 605436$
\#\# $17 \begin{array}{llllllll}74 & 85 & 64 & 69 & 79 & 79 & 63\end{array}$
\#\# $1865 \quad 6065 \quad 75 \quad 55 \quad 8060$
\#\# $19 \quad 65 \quad 70 \quad 46$
\#\# $20 \quad 50 \quad 58 \quad 68 \quad 54 \quad 64 \quad 78 \quad 52$
\#\# $21 \quad 50 \quad 40 \quad 33 \quad 34 \quad 43 \quad 6433$

## Example: Supervisor Performance

```
# Descriptive Statistics for all variables
dim(P060)
```

```
## [1] 30 7
```

t(sapply (P060, summary))

| \#\# | Min. | 1st Qu. Median | Mean | 3rd Qu. Max. |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# Y | 40 | 58.75 | 65.5 | 64.63 | 71.75 | 85 |
| \#\# X1 | 37 | 58.50 | 65.0 | 66.60 | 77.00 | 90 |
| \#\# X2 | 30 | 45.00 | 51.5 | 53.13 | 62.50 | 83 |
| \#\# X3 | 34 | 47.00 | 56.5 | 56.37 | 66.75 | 75 |
| \#\# X4 | 43 | 58.25 | 63.5 | 64.63 | 71.00 | 88 |
| \#\# X5 | 49 | 69.25 | 77.5 | 74.77 | 80.00 | 92 |
| \#\# X6 | 25 | 35.00 | 41.0 | 42.93 | 47.75 | 72 |

## Example: Supervisor Performance

We now assume that $Y$ is linearly related to the six explanatory variables:

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\beta_{4} X_{4}+\beta_{5} X_{5}+\beta_{6} X_{6}+\epsilon
$$

Note that this assumption needs to be verified, which we ignore for now! It may however e.g. be possible that one or more variables have no relation with $Y$ or that the model is incomplete and important variables are missing.

## How do we estimate the parameters $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ for the multiple linear regression?

## Parameter Estimation

How do we estimate the regression parameters $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ ?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Parameter Estimation

■ Solution: Minimizing the sum of squares.

- The individual errors can be written as

$$
\epsilon_{i}=y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i 1}-\beta_{2} x_{i 2}-\ldots-\beta_{p} x_{i p}
$$

■ The sum of squares therefore is

$$
S\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i 1}-\beta_{2} x_{i 2}-\ldots-\beta_{p} x_{i p}\right)^{2}
$$

- $\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{p}$ are the constants that minimize $S\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)$. Formulating the minimization problem leads to a system of equations, which are called the normal equations. The solution is usually derived using matrix notation.


## Parameter Estimation

■ Solution: Minimizing the sum of squares.

- The individual errors can be written as

$$
\epsilon_{i}=y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i 1}-\beta_{2} x_{i 2}-\ldots-\beta_{p} x_{i p}
$$

- The sum of squares therefore is

$$
\boldsymbol{S}\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i 1}-\beta_{2} x_{i 2}-\ldots-\beta_{p} x_{i p}\right)^{2}
$$

- $\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{p}$ are the constants that minimize $S\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)$. Formulating the minimization problem leads to a system of equations, which are called the normal equations. The solution is usually derived using matrix notation.

We do not cover the solution in matrix notation in this course. If you are interested, please ask me about it or see the Appendix to Chapter 3 in RABE5.

## Parameter Estimation

- Using the fitted regression parameters, the fitted least squares regression equation can be noted

$$
\hat{Y}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} X_{1}+\hat{\beta}_{2} X_{2}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{p} X_{p}
$$

- The fitted values follow analogously

$$
\hat{y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i 1}+\hat{\beta}_{2} x_{i 2}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{p} x_{i p} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

- The residuals are

$$
e_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

- An unbiased estimate of the variance $\sigma^{2}$ is

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{S S E}{n-p-1} \quad \text { with } \quad S S E=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}
$$

- $n-p-1$ is called the degrees of freedom and is equal to the number of observations minus the number of estimated regression coefficients.


## Interpretation

- The simple regression equation (only $X_{1}$ ) represents a line.
- The multiple regression equation represents a plane ( $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ ) or a hyperplane (more than two predictors).

```
library(car) # 3d Visualization of regression plane for two X variables
car::scatter3d(P060$Y,P060$X1, P060$X2)
```

- $\beta_{0}$ is the constant coefficient or intercept and results in the value of $Y$, when $X_{1}=X_{2}=\ldots=X_{p}=0$.
- The regression coefficient $\beta_{j}$ has several interpretations:
- $\beta_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the change in $Y$ corresponding to a unit change in $X_{\mathrm{j}}$, when all other predictors are held constant (ceteris paribus).
- $\beta_{\mathrm{j}}$ is also called the partial regression coefficient as it represents the contribution of $X_{j}$ to the response variable, after it has been adjusted for the other predictor variables.


## Example: Supervisor Performance

```
mod <- lm(Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2, data=P060)
summary (mod)
```


## Your turn

Write down the parameterized regression equation.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2, data = P060)
##
## Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{lrrlrr} 
\#\# & Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
\#\# & -12 & 789 & -5.689 & -0.028 & 6.275
\end{tabular}
##
## Coefficients:
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
\#\# & Estimate Std. Error t value & \(\operatorname{Pr}(>|t|)\) \\
\#\# (Intercept) & 15.3276 & 7.1602 & 2.14 & 0.041 * \\
\#\# X1 & 0.7803 & 0.1194 & 6.54 & \(5.2 \mathrm{e}-07\) *** \\
\#\# X2 & -0.0502 & 0.1299 & -0.39 & 0.702
\end{tabular}
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 7.1 on 27 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.683, Adjusted R-squared: 0.66
## F-statistic: 29.1 on 2 and 27 DF, p-value: 1.83e-07
```


## Interpretation

Plugging in the estimated regression coefficients from the output yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{Y}=15.3276+0.7803(X 1)-0.0502(X 2) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

■ Lets explore the question what adjusted for actually means:

- The coefficient for $X_{1}$ suggests that each unit of $X_{1}$ adds 0.7803 to $Y$, when the value of $X_{2}$ is held fix.
- The effect of $X_{2}$ after adjusting for $X_{1}$ is -0.0502 , which means that for each unit increase of $X_{2}$ the response variable $Y$ decreases -0.0502 units and the value of $X_{1}$ is held fix.
- These results can also be obtained iteratively by applying a series of simple regression models.


## Interpretation

1 Relating $Y$ and $X_{1}$ to obtain the residuals $e_{Y \cdot X_{1}}$.

```
mod1 <- lm(Y ~ 1 + X1, data=P060)
round(coef(mod1),4)
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
\#\# (Intercept) & X1 \\
\#\# & 14.3763 & 0.7546
\end{tabular}
```

2 Relating $X_{2}$ (temporary response) and $X_{1}$ to obtain residuals $e_{X_{2} \cdot X_{1}}$.

```
mod2 <- lm(X2 ~ 1 + X1, data=P060)
round(coef(mod2),4)
```

\#\# (Intercept) X1
\#\# $18.965 \quad 0.513$

3 Relating the residuals $e_{Y \cdot X_{1}}$ to $e_{X_{2} \cdot X_{1}}$.

```
e_y_x1 <- residuals(mod1)
e_x2_x1 <- residuals(mod2)
mod3 <- lm(e_y_x1 ~ 1 + e_x2_x1, data=P060)
round(coef(mod3),4)
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
\#\# (Intercept) & e_x2_x1 \\
\#\# & 0.0000 & -0.0502
\end{tabular}
```


## Interpretation

- A series of simple linear regressions can reproduce the coefficients (and standard errors) from a multivariate regression.
- The results from simple and multiple linear regression would only be equal if the regressors (the $X$ 's) were not correlated, which is almost never the case in practice.
- Each regression coefficient $\beta_{j}$ can be seen as partial regression coefficient because it represents the contribution of $X_{j}$ to the response variable $Y$ after both variables have been adjusted for the linear dependence on the other regressors.


## Centering and Scaling

- The value of the regression coefficient is dependent on the unit of measurement of the variables.
■ To obtain unitless regression coefficients, we can center and scale (e.g. z-transform) our variables
- Centering can be achieved by subtracting the mean of the response $Y-\bar{y}$ or predictor variables $X-\bar{x}$.
- Scaling can be done by unit-length scaling or standardizing


## Centering and Scaling

■ Unit LengthScaling for $Y$

$$
Z_{y}=\frac{Y-\bar{y}}{L_{y}} \quad \text { with } \quad L_{y}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}
$$

■ Standardizing for $Y$

$$
z_{y}=\frac{Y-\bar{y}}{s_{y}} \quad \text { with } \quad s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}
$$

■ Correlations are unaffected by these kind of transformations

- $\beta$ 's change as well as their interpretation, e.g. beta coefficients obtained after standardizing the variables represent marginal effects of the predictor variables in standard deviation units


## Centering and Scaling

```
y <- P060$Y
L_y <- sqrt(sum((y-mean(y))^2))
z_y <- (y - mean(y))/L_y # Unit-length Scaling
y_scaled <- scale(y) # Standardizing by default
```

Histogram of $y$


Histogram of $\mathbf{z \_ y}$


Histogram of y_scaled


## Properties of the Least Squares Estimator



## Properties of the Least Squares Estimator



Best Linear Unbiased Estimator

## Properties of the Least Squares Estimator

1. For all unbiased estimates that are linear in the observations the least squares estimators have the smallest variance.
2 The estimator $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ is normally distributed with mean $\beta_{j}$ and variance $\sigma^{2} c_{j j}$, where $c_{j j}$ is the $j$-th diagonal element of the inverse of a matrix known as the corrected sums of squares and products matrix.
$3 \mathrm{~W}=S S E / \sigma^{2}$ has a $\mathcal{X}^{2}$ distribution with $n-p-1$ degrees of freedom and $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ 's and $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ are distrbuted independently of each other.
4 The vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{p}\right)$ has a $p+1$ dimensional normal distribution and with mean vector $\beta=\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)$ and a variance-covariance matrix with elements $\sigma^{2} c_{i j}$.

It is due to these properties that we are able to test hypotheses about individual regression parameters and construct confidence intervals!

## Multiple Correlation Coefficient

- The content discussed for testing the goodness of fit for the simple linear regression model can easily be extended for the larger regression models and the following holds also for the multiple regression model:

$$
R^{2}=[\operatorname{Cor}(Y, \hat{Y})]^{2}=\frac{S S R}{S S T}=1-\frac{S S E}{S S T}=1-\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Sometimes $R=\sqrt{R^{2}}$ is referred to as the multiple correlation coefficient as it measures the correlation between the response and a set of predictors.
- When the model provides a good fit for the data, $R^{2}$ is close to unity (and $\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)$ will be small).
- When the variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{p}$ do not have a linear relationship with the response, the best predicted value for each $y_{i}$ is the mean $\bar{y}$ (because it minimizes the sum of squares) and $R^{2}$ will be near zero.


## Multiple Correlation Coefficient

```
mod <- lm(Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 +X5 + X6, data=P060)
summary (mod)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + X6, data = P060)
##
## Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr} 
\#\# & Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
\#\# & -10.942 & -4.356 & 0.316 & 5.543 & 11.599
\end{tabular}
##
## Coefficients:
```



```
##
## Residual standard error: 7.07 on 23 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.733, Adjusted R-squared: 0.663
## F-statistic: 10.5 on 6 and 23 DF, p-value: 1.24e-05
```


## Your turn

What happens with $R^{2}$ when we add one or many artificially generated variables $z_{j} \sim N(0,1)$ that are pure white noise to the model?

## Multiple Correlation Coefficient

```
mod <- lm(Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 +X5 + X6, data=P060)
mod_summary <- summary(mod)
c(mod_summary$r.square, mod_summary$adj.r.squared)
```

\#\# [1] 0.73260 .6628

```
set.seed(1)
z1 <- rnorm(nrow(P060))
z2 <- rnorm(nrow(P060))
z3 <- rnorm(nrow(P060))
z4 <- rnorm(nrow(P060))
z5 <- rnorm(nrow(P060))
mod_large <- lm(Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 +X5 + X6
    + z1 + z2 + z3 + z4 + z5, data=P060)
mod_large_summary <- summary(mod_large)
c(mod_large_summary$r.square, mod_large_summary$adj.r.squared)
```

\#\# [1] 0.77390 .6357

## Multiple Correlation Coefficient

$$
R_{a}^{2}=1-\frac{S S E /(n-p-1)}{S S T /(n-1)}=1-\frac{n-1}{n-p-1}\left(1-R^{2}\right)
$$

■ The adjusted $R^{2}$ tries to solve the observed issue by "adjusting" for the difference in the numbers of variables when judging the goodness of fit.

- The $R_{a}^{2}$ cannot be interpreted as the proportion of total variation in $Y$ accounted for by the predictors.


## Inference for Regression Coefficients

- Testing the individual regression coefficients for $H_{0}: \beta_{j}=\beta_{j}^{0}$ versus $H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq \beta_{j}^{0}$ can be done using the $t$-test by comparing the observed value $t_{j}$ with the appropriate critical value $t_{n-p-1, \alpha / 2}$.
■ For the two-sided test, $H_{0}$ is rejected at the significance level $\alpha$ if

$$
\left|t_{j}\right| \geq t_{n-p-1, \alpha / 2} \quad \text { with } \quad t_{j}=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}^{0}}{\text { s.e. }\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)}
$$

- An equivalent decision can be made using the $p$-value and reject $H_{0}$ if $p\left(\left|t_{j}\right|\right) \leq \alpha$. The $p$-value is the probabillity that a random variable hacinf a Student $t$-distribution with n-p-1 degrees of freedom is greater than $\left|t_{j}\right|$ (the observed value of the t -test).


## Inference for Regression Coefficients

```
mod <- lm(Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 +X5 + X6, data=P060)
summary (mod)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 + X5 + X6, data = P060)
##
## Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr} 
\#\# & Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
\#\# & -10.942 & -4.356 & 0.316 & 5.543 & 11.599
\end{tabular}
##
## Coefficients:
```



```
##
## Residual standard error: 7.07 on 23 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.733, Adjusted R-squared: 0.663
## F-statistic: 10.5 on 6 and 23 DF, p-value: 1.24e-05
```


## Your turn

Interpret the regression coefficients (assume $\alpha=0.05$ ).
$Y$ Overall rating of job being done by supervisor
$X_{1}$ Handles employee complaints
$X_{2}$ Does not allow special privileges
$X_{3}$ Opportunity to learn new things
$X_{4}$ Raises based on performance
$X_{5}$ Too critical of poor performance
$x_{6}$ Rate of advancing to better jobs

## Hypothesis Testing

1 All the regression coefficients associated with the preditor variables are zero.
2 Some of the regression coefficients are zero.
3 Some of the regression coefficients are equal to each other.
4 The regression parameters satisfy certain specified constraints.

We discuss a general approach for testing all stated hypotheses and illustrate that for testing if all regression coefficients are zero (option 1). Please see the recommended literature for the other options.

## Hypothesis Testing

- The model that contains all $p+1$ parameters will be referred to as the full model (FM).

$$
\text { Full Model: } \quad Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\ldots+\beta_{p} X_{p}+\epsilon
$$

$\square$ We are formulating a hypothesis for some coefficients $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ in the model. By incorporating the hypothesized values in the model we receive the so called reduced model (RM).

- The number of distinct parameters to estimate in the RM is smaller than the numbers of parameters to estimate in the FM.

■ Subsequently, we are going to test
$H_{0}$ : Reduced model is adequate. vs. $H_{1}$ : Full model is adequate.

## Hypothesis Testing

- The main idea of the described approach is testing for differences in goodness of fit between the FM and RM.
- If the reduced model gives as good fit as the full model, the null hypothesis ( $H_{0}$ : Reduced model is adequate) is not rejected.
- The lack of fit can be measured by the sum of squared residuals (SSE), which we note using the predicted values for $y_{i}$ of the full model $\hat{y}_{i}$ and the reduced model $\hat{y}_{i}^{*}$ respectively.

$$
\operatorname{SSE}(F M)=\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2} \quad \operatorname{SSE}(R M)=\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}^{*}\right)^{2}
$$

## Hypothesis Testing

- In the full model there are $p+1$ regression parameters $\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)$ that need to be estimated. Let's suppose that the reduced model contains $k$ distinct parameters.
- SSE(RM) $\geq$ SSE(FM) must hold, as the additional variables cannot increase the residual sum of squares.
- The difference $\operatorname{SSE}(R M)$ - SSE(FM) represents the increase in residual sum of squares due to fitting the reduced model. If this difference is large, the RM is inadequate!


## Hypothesis Testing

$$
F=\frac{[\operatorname{SSE}(R M)-\operatorname{SSE}(F M)] /(p+1-k)}{\operatorname{SSE}(F M) /(n-p-1)}
$$

■ The ratio above is called the statistic for the F-Test.
■ We divide SSE(FM) and the discussed difference by their respective degrees of freedom to compensate for differences in number of parameters and ensure that the ratio follows a standard statistical distribution (F-distribution).

- FM has p parameters, hence $\operatorname{SSE}(F M)$ has $n-p-1$ degrees of freedom
- RM has $k$ parameters, hence $\operatorname{SSE}(R M)$ has $n-k$ degrees of freedom
- SSE $(R M)-\operatorname{SSE}(F M)$ has $(n-k)-(n-p-1)=p+1-k$ degrees of freedom
- Required F-distribution has $(n+k-1)$ and $(n-p-1)$ degrees of freedom


## Hypothesis Testing

■ If the observed F-value is large in comparison to the tabulated value of F with the respective degrees of freedom, the result is significant at level $\alpha$.

- This means that the reduced model is unsatisfactory and the null hypothesis (with the suggested values for the $\beta$ 's) is rejected.
- $H_{0}$ is rejected if

$$
F \geq F_{(p+1-k, n-p-1 ; 1-\alpha)} \quad \text { or } \quad p(F) \leq \alpha
$$

- Here $F$ is the observed value of the $F$-test, $F_{(p+1-k, n-p-1 ; 1-\alpha)}$ is the appropriate critical value obtained from the F table and $p(F)$ is the $p$-value for the F -Test (probability that a random variable having an F-distribution and the stated DoF is greater than the observed F).


## Hypothesis Testing: All Regression Coefficients equal to Zero

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { RM : } & H_{0}: Y=\beta_{0} & +\epsilon \\
\text { FM : } & H_{1}: Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\ldots+\beta_{p} X_{p} & +\epsilon
\end{array}
$$

- The residual sum of squares resulting from the full model is SSE(FM) = SSE.
- Because the estimate for $\beta_{0}$ in the reduced model is $\hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{y}$, the residual sum of squares from the reduced model is $\operatorname{SSE}(R M)=\sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=S S T$.
Additionally, SST $=S S R+$ SSE holds so that the F-test reduces to

$$
F=\frac{[S S T-S S E] / p}{S S E /(n-p-1)}=\frac{S S R / p}{S S E /(n-p-1)}=\frac{M S R}{M S E}
$$

## Hypothesis Testing: All Regression Coefficients equal to Zero

- That F-test essentially tests $H_{0}$ if the regression coefficients (excluding $\beta_{0}$ ) contribute to the explanation of the response variable.

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots=\beta_{p}=0
$$

- The hypothesis is equal to the multiple correlation coefficient $R$ being zero. So the same hypothesis can be checked using the following where $R_{p}$ denotes the multiple correlation coefficient, which is obtained from fitting a model to $n$ observations with $p$ predictor variables (+ intercept)

$$
F=\frac{R_{p}^{2} / p}{\left(1-R_{p}^{2}\right) /(n-p-1)}
$$

## Hypothesis Testing: All Regression Coefficients equal to Zero

- That F-test essentially tests $H_{0}$ if the regression coefficients (excluding $\beta_{0}$ ) contribute to the explanation of the response variable.

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\ldots=\beta_{p}=0
$$

- The hypothesis is equal to the multiple correlation coefficient $R$ being zero. So the same hypothesis can be checked using the following where $R_{p}$ denotes the multiple correlation coefficient, which is obtained from fitting a model to $n$ observations with $p$ predictor variables (+ intercept)

$$
F=\frac{R_{p}^{2} / p}{\left(1-R_{p}^{2}\right) /(n-p-1)}
$$

## Your turn

What is $H_{1}$ ?

## Example

```
mod <- lm(Y ~ 1 + X1 + X2 + X3 + X4 +X5 + X6, data=P060)
summary (mod)
```


## \#\#

\#\# Call:
\#\# $\operatorname{lm}$ (formula $=\mathrm{Y} \sim 1+\mathrm{X} 1+\mathrm{X} 2+\mathrm{X} 3+\mathrm{X} 4+\mathrm{X} 5+\mathrm{X} 6$, data $=\mathrm{P} 060$ ) \#\#
\#\# Residuals:

| \#\# | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# | -10.942 | -4.356 | 0.316 | 5.543 | 11.599 |

\#\#
\#\# Coefficients:


## Your turn

Calculate $F$ and perform the F-test to test if all regression coefficients $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{6}$ are equal to zero. Use $\alpha=0.05$.


## Predictions

As with simple linear regression multiple linear regression can be used to either predict the value of a response or the value of the mean response. The value $x_{0}$ to be inserted for the predictor variables now consists of $p$ values so that $x_{0}=\left(x_{01}, x_{02}, \ldots, x_{0 p}\right)$.

## Value of Response \& Prediction Limits

The predicted value $\hat{y}_{0}$ is given by $\hat{y}_{0}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{01}+\hat{\beta}_{2} x_{02}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{p} x_{0 p}$. Its confidence interval can be constructed by $\hat{y}_{0} \pm t_{(n-p-1, \alpha / 2)}$ s.e. $\left(\hat{y}_{0}\right)$.

## Mean Response \& Confidence Limits

The predicted value $\hat{\mu}_{0}$ is given by $\hat{\mu}_{0}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{01}+\hat{\beta}_{2} x_{02}+\ldots+\hat{\beta}_{p} x_{0 p}$. The confidence interval for that prediction is given by $\hat{\mu}_{0} \pm t_{(n-p-1, \alpha / 2)}$. s.e. $\left(\hat{\mu}_{0}\right)$.

Note: The standard errors require matrix notation so that their derivation is not shown here. They can, however, be found in the recommended literature.

